

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JORJA BENTLEY	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1122
<hr/>		
ROY HAGER	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1331
<hr/>		
PHILLIP DIVERGIGELIS	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1333
<hr/>		
RONALD BURTON	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1334
<hr/>		
STEPHEN TSOPANIS	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1335
<hr/>		
WANDA YOUNG	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1336
<hr/>		

DOROTHY SPEARS	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1337
<hr/>		
VICKI LING	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1338
<hr/>		
DARLENE HARRIS	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1339
<hr/>		
ELIZABETH BANJACK	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1340
<hr/>		

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of May, 2017, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions of defendants Merck & Co., Inc. and Merck

Sharp & Dome Corp. to dismiss Count VI of the complaints are  
GRANTED.<sup>1</sup>

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Harvey Bartle III

J.

---

1. C.A. No. 17-1122 (Doc. # 7); C.A. No. 17-1331 (Doc. # 5);  
C.A. No. 17-1333 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1334 (Doc. # 5);  
C.A. No. 17-1335 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1336 (Doc. # 5);  
C.A. No. 17-1337 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1338 (Doc. # 5);  
C.A. No. 17-1339 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1340 (Doc. # 5).